



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,327	07/30/2003	Eitan Rosen	MP0280/13361-054001	1395
26200 7590 10/10/2008 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			EXAMINER CHEN, TSE W	
			ART UNIT 2116	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 10/10/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/631,327	Applicant(s) ROSEN, EITAN	
	Examiner TSE CHEN	Art Unit 2116	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 September 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 23-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 and 23-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the “driver to drive and maintain a voltage of the clock bus to a first voltage level while the clock transmitter is not transmitting a clock signal on the clock bus and the clock receiver is not receiving a clock signal on the clock bus” must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as “amended.” If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either “Replacement Sheet” or “New Sheet” pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2116

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 23-25, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by “Smith”, US Publication 20040019816.

4. In re claims 1 and 23, Smith discloses a circuit, comprising associated means of:

- a clock transmitter [master] in communication with a clock bus [208], the clock transmitter to transmit a clock signal on the clock bus [fig.2a].
- a clock receiver [slave] in communication with the clock bus, the clock receiver to receive a clock signal on the clock bus [fig.2a].
- a driver in communication with the clock bus, the driver to drive and maintain a voltage of the clock bus to a first voltage level [high] while the clock transmitter is not transmitting a clock signal on the clock bus and the clock receiver is not receiving a clock signal [i.e., idle] on the clock bus [0021].

5. As to claims 2 and 24, Smith discloses, wherein the first voltage level is a voltage level corresponding to a logical one [high] [0021].

6. As to claims 3 and 25, Smith discloses, wherein the driver includes a resistance [inherently, circuitries comprise resistance in order to function properly].

7. As to claims 5 and 27, Smith discloses, wherein the driver includes a transistor [218].

Art Unit: 2116

8. As to claims 6 and 28, Smith discloses, including enabling circuitry in communication with the driver, the enabling circuitry to enable the driver when the clock transmitter is not transmitting a clock signal on the clock bus and the clock receive is not receiving a clock signal on the clock bus [0021; inherent circuitry to enable driving clock bus high during idle].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 4 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of “Masuda”, US Patent 5732249.

11. Smith taught each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above. Smith did not discuss details of the driver.

12. Masuda discloses a driver [fig.1] that includes a first resistance [r1] between the clock bus [1] and a voltage Vdd, and wherein the driver further includes a second resistance [r2] between the clock bus and ground.

13. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Smith and Masuda before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the circuit taught by Smith to include the driver explicitly taught by Masuda, in order to obtain the claimed circuit. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to control clock skew [Masuda: abstract].

Art Unit: 2116

14. Claims 7 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith as applied to claims 6 and 28 above, and further in view of “McDaniel”, US Patent 5355468.

15. Smith taught each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above. Smith did not disclose disabling the driver when the clock transmitter is not transmitting a clock signal on the clock bus and the clock receiver is not receiving a clock signal on the clock bus.

16. McDaniel discloses the enabling circuitry to disable the driver when the [clock] transmitter is not transmitting a [clock] signal on the [clock] bus and the [clock] receiver is not receiving a [clock] signal on the [clock] bus [col. 11, 11.3-62; col.26, 11.7-11; disable when clock/data signal is not present].

17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Smith and McDaniel before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the circuit taught by Smith to include the teachings of McDaniel, in order to obtain the claimed circuit. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to conserve power [McDaniel: col.26, 11.7-11].

18. Claims 8-10 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith as applied to claims 6 and 28 above, and further in view of “Jeppesen”, US Patent 5355468.

19. Smith taught each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above. Smith did not disclose the receive processing clock to turn off in response to a signal from the enabling circuitry.

20. In re claims 8 and 30, Jeppesen discloses receive processing circuitry in communication with the enabling circuitry, the receive processing circuitry including a receive processing clock,

Art Unit: 2116

the receive processing clock to turn off in response to a signal from the enabling circuitry [col.8, 11.3-6].

21. In re claims 9 and 31, Jeppesen discloses, wherein the enabling circuitry includes a flip flop [37].

22. In re claims 10 and 32, Jeppesen discloses, wherein the enabling circuitry enables the driver when the flip flop is in a first state [clip = high], and wherein the enabling circuitry disables the driver when the flip flop is in a second state [clip = low] [col.6, 11.11-19].

23. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Smith and Jeppesen before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the circuit taught by Smith to include the teachings of Jeppesen, in order to obtain the receive processing clock that turns off in response to a signal from the enabling circuitry and the associated circuits. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a predictable and accurate way to control timing [Jeppesen: col.2, 11.6-9].

24. Claims 11-15, 33-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of “Wu”, US Patent 5964856.

25. Smith discloses each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above. Smith did not disclose the specific usage of teachings [i.e., Smith's teachings were directed to general devices that can be used in myriads of applications].

26. In re claims 11 and 33, Wu discloses, wherein the driver is included in a packet processor [col.3, 11.18-19].

27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Smith and Wu before him at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the teachings of

Art Unit: 2116

Smith to be used with the application of Wu, in order to perform well known applications such as packet processing. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a protocol that may be implemented over long distances [Smith: 0006].

28. As to claims 12 and 34, Wu discloses, wherein the driver is included in a packet processor configured to transmit data and to receive data according to a double data rate protocol [col.3, 11.18-19; col.6, 11.60-62].

29. As to claims 13 and 35, Wu discloses, including a memory [130].

30. As to claims 14 and 36, Wu discloses, wherein the memory is configured to transmit data and to receive data according to the double data rate protocol [col.2, 11.35-48; col.6, 11.60-62].

31. As to claims 15 and 37, Wu discloses, another clock transmitter in communication with the clock bus, the another clock transmitter to transmit a clock signal on the clock bus, another clock receiver in communication with the clock bus, the another clock receiver to receive a clock signal on the clock bus, and another driver in communication with the clock bus, the another driver to drive the voltage of the clock bus to the first voltage level when the another clock transmitter is not transmitting a clock signal on the clock bus and the another clock receiver is not receiving a clock signal on the clock bus [fig. 1; n devices].

Response to Arguments

32. Applicant's arguments filed September 4, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

33. Applicant argues that claim 1 “recites in part a driver to drive and maintain a voltage of the clock bus **while the clock transmitter is not transmitting a clock signal on the clock bus**”.

Art Unit: 2116

Examiner submits that this limitation is already disclosed as prior art in figure 2 of Applicant's own application. Furthermore, Applicant's arguments that Smith does not teach this limitation amounts to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims "clock signal" patentably distinguishes them from the references. Examiner points to Applicant's own concession that Smith discloses "the clock line 208 is kept HIGH" when idle.

34. As such, Applicant's arguments are deemed not persuasive and the rejections are respectfully maintained.

Conclusion

35. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TSE CHEN whose telephone number is (571)272-3672. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9AM - 5PM.

Art Unit: 2116

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on (571) 272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tse Chen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2116

October 3, 2008